

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/036,335	MAGNI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Angela Ortiz	1732	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Angela Ortiz.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Atty. M. Taylor.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 21 June 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

8 and 14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Exr made a proposed Exr amdt to make minor changes to claims 8 and 14 to clarify what was previously indicated allowable in the previous office action; it was agreed to cancel claim 9; the amdt was approved and the case passed to issue branch.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)